



AGENDA
REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF
THE CITY OF WHITE BEAR LAKE, MINNESOTA
TUESDAY, MAY 25, 2021
7:00 P.M. VIA TELEPHONE OR ZOOM MEETING

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting on May 11, 2021

B. Minutes of the Council Work Session on May 18, 2021

3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

4. VISITORS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. Plants and expectations following an alum treatment - Joe Bischoff and Greg Wilson, Barr Engineering

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Nothing scheduled

6. LAND USE

Nothing scheduled

7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

Nothing scheduled

8. ORDINANCES

Nothing scheduled

9. NEW BUSINESS

A. Resolution ordering a public hearing to vacate Miller Avenue

B. Resolution awarding the bond sale

10. CONSENT

A. Resolution authorizing a temporary liquor license for Pine Tree Apple Classic Fund

B. Resolution authorizing issuance of a massage therapist establishment license to Points of Serenity

- C. Resolution approving lease amendments with T-Mobile for equipment modifications at Century Avenue Monopole site
- D. Resolution accepting bids and awarding contract for the 2021 Crack Sealing Program, City Project No. 21-03
- E. Resolution adopting the City's Surface Water Management Plan
- F. Resolution authorizing a road closure and approving a liquor extension for Manitou Grill

11. DISCUSSION

Nothing Scheduled

12. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER

- Community Housing Forum, Thursday, June 10th at 7:00 p.m.

13. ADJOURNMENT



**MINUTES
REGULAR MEETING OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF WHITE BEAR LAKE, MINNESOTA
TUESDAY, MAY 11, 2021
7:00 P.M. VIA ZOOM OR TELEPHONE**

1. CALL TO ORDER AND ROLL CALL

Mayor Jo Emerson called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m. under MN Statute Section 13D.021, in which the City Council will be conducting its meetings during this emergency by electronic means until further notice. The clerk took roll call attendance for Councilmembers: Doug Biehn, Kevin Edberg, Steven Engstran, Dan Jones and Bill Walsh. Staff in attendance were City Manager Ellen Hiniker, Public Works Director/City Engineer Paul Kauppi, Finance Director Kerri Kindsvater, Community Development Director Anne Kane, City Clerk Kara Coustry and City Attorney Troy Gilchrist.

2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

A. Minutes of the Regular City Council Meeting on April 27, 2021

It was moved by Councilmember **Jones** seconded by Councilmember **Edberg**, to approve the Minutes of the April 27, 2021 as presented.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

3. APPROVAL OF THE AGENDA

It was moved by Councilmember **Biehn** seconded by Councilmember **Jones**, to approve the Agenda as presented

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

4. VISITORS AND PRESENTATIONS

A. Shallow Lakes and Alum – Joe Bischoff and Greg Wilson, Barr Engineering

Phil Belfiori, Administrator for Vadnais Lake Area Watershed Management Organization

(VLAWMO), introduced Joe Bischoff, Senior Aquatic Ecologist with Barr Engineering, who gave a brief technical background presentation on the science of shallow lake management. The presentation specifically focused on alum treatments in lakes with high internal loading such as East Goose Lake. Mr. Belfiori explained that this presentation provided background information to the Council as part of the ongoing East Goose Lake Adaptive Management partnership with the City and was a follow-up to a presentation provided on October 13, 2020. Another presentation about the science behind aquatic vegetation in shallow lakes will be provided during the May 25, 2021, City Council meeting.

Joe Bischoff then provided his presentation focusing on: providing information on shallow lake ecology and management, background on sediment-phosphorus release in shallow lakes, and alum as a management tool in shallow lakes.

Councilmember Edberg asked whether this presentation had been shared with East Goose Lake residents and the community, noting past concern with restricted motorized boating after alum treatment. In response, Mr. Belfiori provided a summary of the East Goose ALM public engagement process to date including the December 1, 2020, neighborhood meeting, follow-up hard-copy survey mailed to lakeshore owners, and a postcard mailed to the lakeshore owners notifying them of the upcoming community input meeting anticipated to be scheduled for later in the summer. He noted that much of the information contained in these May presentations will be summarized at the community input meeting. He noted that the presentation on May 11 and the presentation scheduled at the May 25th Council meeting are meant to provide background scientific information and are for informational purposes only.

Mr. Bischoff clarified that alum dosing will bind the phosphorus in sediments, making them unavailable for resuspension and potential algae growth, even with boat traffic. He stated that boats could still operate under an alum dosing management plan, with increased dosing to accommodate expected boat-caused sediment resuspension. He explained that, as plants begin to establish, the need for continued dosing may decline.

In response to Councilmember Jones's inquiry about alternatives, Mr. Bischoff mentioned that aeration provides sufficient oxygen to disrupt algae growth, but it can be expensive and require ongoing maintenance compared to alum. Cleaning up external loading of watershed containing phosphorus is another option but is costly. Because the internal load in East Goose Lake is so high, flushing the accumulated phosphorus to result in a clean lake following external load elimination would take centuries. Mr. Belfiori added the cost of cleaning watersheds would be less effective in terms of dollars per pound of phosphorus than alum treatments.

City Manager Hiniker explained that, at the next City Council meeting, the Council will hear more about the science behind aquatic vegetation management in shallow lakes.

5. PUBLIC HEARINGS

Nothing scheduled

6. LAND USE

A. Consent

1. Consideration of a Planning Commission recommendation for approval of a request by the Patty Flanagan for a variance at 3562 Highland Avenue. (Case No. 21-8-V). **Resolution No 12770**

2. Consideration of a Planning Commission recommendation for approval of a request by James Mattson for a variance at 3444 White Bear Avenue. (Case No. 21-9-V). **Resolution No 12771**
3. Consideration of a Planning Commission recommendation for approval of a request by Matthew and Andrea Hare and Jacqueline Mager for a recombination subdivision at 3465 White Bear Avenue and 1996 Elm Street. (Case No. 21-1-LS). **Resolution No 12772**

It was moved by Councilmember **Jones** seconded by Councilmember **Edberg**, to approve the Land Use Consent Agenda as presented.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

B. Non-Consent

1. Consideration of a Planning Commission recommendation for denial of a request by Bree LLC for a variance at 4701 Banning Avenue. (Case No. 21-7-V)

Community Development Director Kane reported 4701 Banning Avenue contains an office use and a pilates studio, however, due to COVID-19, the pilates studio needed to downsize and the new landlord signed a lease with a real estate firm to fill the space. Unbeknownst to the parties involved, City code limits the amount of office space in any one building to 30% or less of the gross floor area or linear frontage. As a result, Ms. Kane explained, the applicant is requesting a variance to increase the amount of ground floor office use to 64.7% gross square feet and increase the amount of lineal street frontage to 51.5%, in order to allow a realtor's office. Of note, the current use of this location is already non-compliant with 40.76% as office space.

Ms. Kane reported findings that there was no practical difficulty proved, this variance would apply a long term solution to a short term issue, and it is contrary to the intent of the zoning code, which is to sustain the economic vitality of the downtown area achieved through more frequent foot traffic to retail tenants. Ms. Kane reported that one downtown business owner opposed the variance stating that 30% is adequate for non-retail and neighboring residents expressed concerned with spill over parking into the neighborhood.

Ms. Kane forwarded a Planning Commission recommendation to deny the variance, but approve the existing condition of the building, which required a variance to allow 40.76% office space.

Mayor Emerson opened the public hearing at 7:35 p.m.

Applicants/owners of 4701 Banning Avenue, Christian Peterson (wife Gretchen attending) of Everton Circle North Street in Hugo conveyed respect for the process and explained their desire to work with the pilates tenant in an effort to avoid another fully lost business.

In support of the variance request, Mr. Peterson quoted City code in which, “variances are acceptable and permitted, at least to be considered, if there is a difficulty caused by public action..., or other exceptional physical conditions” – the pandemic being prevalent as an exceptional physical condition.

Mr. Peterson also asked for approval of the 40.76% variance to support the existing use, and while respecting the Planning Commission decision, asked the Council to consider an interim use permit allowing for 24 months of conditional use for purposes of permitting the real estate office tenant. He explained this would provide flexibility to the City during a rebound period. Mr. Peterson stated that being located on the outer parameters of downtown lessens the influence to foot traffic, and added that the space is fully functional with sprinkler systems.

Jamie Ogden of 5457 Franklin Avenue, (real estate owner/business partner Ryan Schreier attending), referenced past investments into downtown White Bear Lake and conveyed their desire to contribute to the shared goal of a vibrant downtown. An example of bringing excitement downtown will be charity events such as an autograph session with professional athletes to raise money.

Associate Broker at Realty One Group Vertical, Broker Kate Johnson explained that she started a business with her husband called, K-2 Homes with Heart, but was just moving in when the pandemic hit. She mentioned her brother is a small business owner downtown and she described past events she has organized in support of local White Bear Lake businesses and charities. She discussed charity work and later added the location is a drop-off and volunteer site for donations for the Safe Haven Foster Shop non-profit.

Mayor Emerson closed the public hearing at 7:46 p.m.

Councilmember Biehn inquired as the Council’s ability to provide a 24-month interim use permit. While interim use permits are an option provided in the State code, City Attorney Troy Gilchrist relayed that a text amendment to the City code be used to provide an interim use permit, which would allow the space to convert back into retail upon a certain event or date.

Through lengthy conversation, Councilmembers landed on a desire to find an interim solution capped at two years with time served. City Attorney Gilchrist added that under pandemic regulatory flexibility, and if Council agrees, the text amendment process could follow while allowing the business to continue operating.

Councilmember Walsh indicated a desire to account for the months that the realty business has already occupied that space when considering a future interim use.

Staff was directed to work with the applicants to follow through with a text amendment allowing for the issuance of interim use permits.

It was moved by Councilmember **Edberg** seconded by Councilmember **Walsh**, to approve **Resolution No. 12773** denial of a request by Bree LLC for a variance at 4701 Banning Avenue.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye

Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

It was moved by Councilmember **Biehn** seconded by Councilmember **Jones**, to approve **Resolution No. 12774** a request by Bree LLC for a variance at 4701 Banning Avenue.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

2. Consideration of a Planning Commission recommendation for approval of a request by the 3881 Property Group for a Conditional Use Permit at 3881 Highland Avenue (Case No. 21-5-CUP)

Community Development Director Kane explained the applicant has requested a Conditional Use Permit to convert an existing two-story office building into a 16-24 bed nursing home. She explained the site has only four (4) parking spaces, and because the Code requires 12 parking stalls, this approval would also require leased use of the Stellmacher Park parking lot adjacent to the property.

Ms. Kane described the plan for approximately six (6) regular staff to park in the leased Stellmacher lot, leaving four (4) on-site stalls available for visitors. Approximately 6 staff are anticipated on a regular basis with potential for a few more visitors on weekends and holidays. The city lot has 20 stalls plenty of room to accommodate the proposed lease and still have room for the general public.

Ms. Kane added that the driveway and trash enclosure encroaches slightly into the park property, also noted in the conditional use. She reported, there was no opposition to the request during the public hearing, and forwarded the Planning Commission's unanimous recommendation to approve the Conditional Use Permit noting approval is conditioned upon approval a lease of Stellmacher parking lot, item 9A.

It was moved by Councilmember **Biehn** seconded by Councilmember **Jones**, to approve **Resolution No. 12775** a request by Bree LLC for a variance at 4701 Banning Avenue.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

7. UNFINISHED BUSINESS

A. Resolution reaffirming 2021 bond sale amount and changing date for consideration of proposals

Finance Director Kindsvater reported pushing back the bond sale to May 25 in order to clarify questions surrounding the amount of the bond and its use. She explained that bonds must be spent on qualifying expenditures within 24 months of the sale. She mentioned modifying bond documents by adding that in addition to water meter replacements, “other water system improvements” would qualify. This, she explained, allows flexibility to expense bond proceeds on other water infrastructure improvements, such as what is called out in the Capital Improvement Plan (CIP).

City Manager Hiniker highlighted she felt it important to clarify Council’s options once bonds have gone to market. Contrary to what was reported at the previous meeting as Council deliberated its decision, Council cannot reduce the bond amount after it is marketed for public sale.

Councilmember Edberg wanted it noted that, contrary to a resolution passed by Council in April to affirm the bond sale, staff initiated this action to reconsider. He understood why staff took this action, but he did not agree with the action.

In response to Councilmember Walsh about other water system improvements in the CIP, Finance Director Kindsvater listed the filter room rehabilitation, fencing and security access items, back-up generators for the facility and for two wells, in addition to the water plant slaker. She said the City is working with a company and expects to have professional recommendations after review of the water treatment plant, which could further impact that list.

It was moved by Councilmember **Jones** seconded by Councilmember **Engstran**, to approve **Resolution No. 12776** reaffirming 2021 bond sale amount and changing date for consideration of proposals.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

8. ORDINANCES

Nothing scheduled

9. NEW BUSINESS

A. Resolution authorizing Mayor and City Manager to execute Stellmacher Park parking lot Lease Agreement for 3881 Property Group

Community Development Director Kane reported that as a condition to the nursing home variance presented under the Land Use Agenda, this item is to approve a parking lot lease

agreement at Stellmacher Park in order to satisfy the minimum 12 stall parking required in City code. She reported a lease term of ten (10) years at \$1.00/year with a 60-day cancellation clause, similar to other parking lot lease agreements, and pointed to the public benefit of parking lot maintenance and repair being completed by the business.

It was moved by Councilmember **Edberg** seconded by Councilmember **Jones**, to adopt **Resolution No. 12777** authorizing Mayor and City Manager to execute Stellmacher Park Parking Lot Lease Agreement for 3881 Property Group.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

B. Resolution approving amendments to the Traffic Control Policy

With the desire to address numerous complaints regarding traffic and pedestrian safety, Public Works Director / City Engineer presented a recommendation to amend Traffic Control Policy 5.08 with guidelines for use of in-street pedestrian crossing signs and radar speed signs. He explained that pedestrian crossing signs are intended for high volume areas, such as at Cup N Cone. Mr. Kauppi explained that the City's two flashing speed signs would be placed in opposite directions on a single road identified on the list and which qualify from new speed counting equipment.

Councilmember Jones relayed complaints from residents in locations, especially on long open stretches of road, and was a proponent of the investment into the equipment which will provide even more data about traffic speeds and help remind public to slow down.

It was moved by Councilmember **Jones** seconded by Councilmember **Biehn**, to adopt **Resolution No. 12778** approving amendments the Traffic Control Policy.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

10. CONSENT

- A. Resolution authorizing continued Joint Cooperative Agreement in the Ramsey County CDBG & HOME Programs. **Resolution No. 12779**
- B. Resolution accepting bids and awarding contract for the 2021 bituminous seal coating project, City Project No. 21-02. **Resolution No. 12780**

- C. Resolution authorizing grant agreement with Rice Creek Watershed District for Matoska Park shoreline repair. **Resolution No. 12781**
- D. Resolution authorizing grant agreement with Rice Creek Watershed District for the Matoska Park parking lot stormwater treatment structures. **Resolution No. 12782**
- E. Acceptance of Minutes: March Park Advisory Commission, March Environmental Advisory Commission, April Planning Advisory Commission
- F. Resolution authorizing use of Railroad Park. **Resolution No. 12783**

It was moved by Councilmember **Edberg** seconded by Councilmember **Biehn**, to approve the consent agenda as presented.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Motion carried unanimously.

11. DISCUSSION

Nothing scheduled

12. COMMUNICATIONS FROM THE CITY MANAGER

- Work Session announced for Tuesday, May 18 at 6:00 p.m. to review the City's Long Range Financial Management Plan and its Capital Improvement Plan, along with information on the newly released American Rescue Plan.
- Filings will be open Tuesday, May 18 through Tuesday, June 1 for the positions of Mayor, Ward 2 and Ward 4, the notice of which was provided in the Spring City Newsletter and in the White Bear Press (April 28, 2021).
- Assistant City Manager Rick Juba will manage the next City Council meeting in the City's Manager's absence.
- City Engineer / Public Works Director Paul Kauppi
 - Annual hydrant flushing is underway this week and water may be tinged in color as a result. Going North to South.
 - The Matoska Dog Beach shoreline restoration is complete, however, traffic should be avoided until new grass germinates.
- Community Development Director Anne Kane
 - The second Housing Task Force Committee meeting and the first community forum will be held on the evening of Thursday, June 10.

13. ADJOURNMENT

There being no further business before the Council, it was moved by Councilmember **Jones** seconded by Councilmember **Walsh** to adjourn the regular meeting at 8:44 p.m.

Biehn Aye
Edberg Aye
Engstran Aye
Jones Aye
Walsh Aye

Edberg reaffirmed Aye.

Motion carried unanimously.

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



**MINUTES
WORK SESSION OF THE CITY COUNCIL
OF THE CITY OF WHITE BEAR LAKE, MINNESOTA
TUESDAY, MAY 18, 2021
6:00 P.M. VIA ZOOM OR TELEPHONE**

6:05 PM Meeting Opened

In Attendance: Mayor Jo Emerson, Councilmember Bill Walsh, Councilmember Doug Biehn, Councilmember Dan Jones, Councilmember Kevin Edberg, Steven Engstran, City Manager Ellen Hiniker, City Engineer/Public Works Director Paul Kauppi, Kerri Kindsvater, Julie Swanson, Greg Peterson

Absent: n/a

Council and staff discussed the City's Long Range Financial Plan and Capital Improvement Projects. Federal American Relief funding guidelines were also reviewed.

No action was taken at this meeting.

7:25 PM Meeting Adjourned



City of White Bear Lake
City Engineer's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager

From: Paul Kauppi, Public Works Director/City Engineer

Date: May 25, 2021

Subject: **Ordering a Public Hearing on Vacating Miller Avenue between 2nd Street and 3rd Street**

BACKGROUND / SUMMARY

The City is working with Wold Architect on final design for construction of a garage for police squads and replacement of the fire bay, which will include additional interior work on existing spaces. In order to construct an attached secure, indoor parking facility for Public Safety vehicles, the City will need to vacate the right of way on Miller Avenue between 2nd Street and 3rd Street.

The vacated right of way and the two City owned properties along Miller Avenue will provide enough space for the City to expand the Public Safety facility to meet its needs. Before committing to a final design, Council action committing to vacation of Miller Avenue is necessary.

The resolution, if adopted, will schedule a public hearing on vacating right of way on Miller Avenue between 2nd Street and 3rd Street for June 22, 2021. The Engineering Department will ensure that all property owners abutting this right of way are properly notified of the June 22nd public hearing.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends that Council adopt the resolution ordering the public hearing.

ATTACHMENT

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.

**A RESOLUTION SETTING A PUBLIC HEARING ON THE
PROPOSED VACATION OF MILLER AVENUE**

WHEREAS, the City Council pursuant to Minnesota Statute, Section 412.851 desires to consider the vacation of Miller Avenue between Second Street and Third Street legally described as (“Street”):

All that part of Miller Avenue as dedicated on the recorded plat of MURRAY’S ADDITION TO WHITE BEAR, Ramsey County, Minnesota, which lies between the southerly right of way line of 3rd Street and the northerly right of way line of 2nd Street.

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the City Council of the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota that:

The City Council will hold a public hearing and consider the vacation of such Street at its meeting on the 22nd day of June, 2021, in the City Council Chambers of the City Hall located at 4701 Highway 61 at 7:00 p.m. Due to COVID-19, the public hearing may be conducted via electronic means as allowed under Minnesota Statutes, Section 13D.021. Please find detailed meeting information on the City’s website at www.whitebearlake.org/ or call the City Clerk at 651-429-8508 to learn how to attend the public hearing of it is conducted electronically.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the City Clerk is hereby directed to give published, posted and mailed notice of such hearing as required by law.

The foregoing resolution offered by Councilmember _____ and supported by Councilmember _____, was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
Finance Department
MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager
From: Kerri Kindsvater, Finance Director
Date: May 20, 2021
Subject: Resolution awarding the sale of general obligation bonds

BOND RATING

City staff participated in a bond-rating interview with Shelly Eldridge of Ehlers and Associates and Katelyn Kerley of S&P Global Ratings on Tuesday, April 27, 2021 as part of the current bond sale process.

On May 3, 2021, S&P Global Ratings assigned its AA+ long-term rating to the City of White Bear Lake's General Obligation (GO) Bonds, Series 2021A and affirmed their AA+ long-term rating on the city's outstanding GO debt. They stated their outlook for the city is stable.

These strong ratings are attributed to the City Council's accomplishments in developing and implementing long-range financial plans and policies in recent years. The City's AA+ bond rating remains one-step below the highest possible rating of AAA.

The report noted that the city's residential and commercial development despite the recent economic volatility and the consecutive years of surplus operating results have sustained very strong reserves and liquidity. They view the reserves and liquidity as a credit strength when considering the bond issues. They anticipate the city will maintain generally strong credit characteristics for the foreseeable future.

The following items contributed to the rating and its classification of a stable outlook for the rating:

1. Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse metropolitan statistical area.
2. Strong management, with good financial policies and practices under the S&P Global Ratings Financial Management Assessment methodology.
3. Strong budgetary performance, with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2020.
4. Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2020 of 51% of operating expenditures.
5. Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 2.5x total governmental fund expenditures and 28.5x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity S&P considers strong.

6. Weak debt and contingent liability profile, with debt service carrying charges at 8.7% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 119.3% of total governmental fund revenue.
7. Strong institutional framework score.

BOND SALE

The City, through its municipal adviser, Ehlers and Associates, will receive bids on the bond sale at 10:00am on Tuesday, May 25, 2021. At that time, a report and related resolution will be prepared and distributed for the City Council to consider at its meeting that evening.

ATTACHMENTS

S&P Global Ratings Summary Rating Report

Preliminary Official Statement for the \$4,495,000 General Obligation Bonds, Series 2021A

RatingsDirect®

Summary:

White Bear Lake, Minnesota; General Obligation

Primary Credit Analyst:

Katelyn A Kerley, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4683; katelyn.Kerley@spglobal.com

Secondary Contact:

Rob M Marker, Centennial + 1 (303) 721 4264; Rob.Marker@spglobal.com

Table Of Contents

Rating Action

Stable Outlook

Credit Opinion

Related Research

Summary:

White Bear Lake, Minnesota; General Obligation

Credit Profile

US\$4.495 mil GO bonds ser 2021A dtd 06/03/2021 due 02/01/2037

Long Term Rating

AA+/Stable

New

Rating Action

S&P Global Ratings assigned its 'AA+' long-term rating to White Bear Lake, Minn.'s general obligation (GO) bonds, series 2021A. At the same time, we affirmed our 'AA+' long-term rating on the city's outstanding GO debt. The outlook is stable.

Proceeds from the bonds will be used to finance street improvements, water system improvements, water meter replacements, and equipment purchases. Securing the bonds is the city's full faith, credit, and unlimited taxing powers. The city anticipates paying debt service from special assessments, utility revenue, and ad valorem property taxes. We rate to the GO pledge.

Credit overview

Located in the Minneapolis-St. Paul metropolitan statistical area (MSA), the city's local economy is experiencing residential and commercial development despite the recent economic volatility stemming from the pandemic. Consecutive years of surplus operating results have sustained very strong reserves and liquidity, which we view as a credit strength. Overall, we anticipate that the city will maintain generally strong credit characteristics for the foreseeable future.

The rating reflects our view of the city's:

- Very strong economy, with access to a broad and diverse MSA;
- Strong management, with good financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology;
- Strong budgetary performance, with operating surpluses in the general fund and at the total governmental fund level in fiscal 2020;
- Very strong budgetary flexibility, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2020 of 51% of operating expenditures;
- Very strong liquidity, with total government available cash at 2.5x total governmental fund expenditures and 28.5x governmental debt service, and access to external liquidity we consider strong;
- Weak debt and contingent liability profile, with debt service carrying charges at 8.7% of expenditures and net direct debt that is 119.3% of total governmental fund revenue; and
- Strong institutional framework score.

Environmental, social, and governance factors

We note that the City of White Bear Lake is one of just six to participate in the Climate-Smart Municipalities Exchange Program, which pairs selected cities with German counterparts to develop goals and strategies for community efforts in the areas of conservation, renewable energy, transportation, waste reduction, water supply, and natural resources. We believe opportunities from further development and research in environmental projects could benefit the city's local economy and reduce environmental costs. In our view, the city's environmental efforts reduce its environmental risk to less than the sector standard. We note that the social unrest in the Minneapolis area and certain suburbs has not affected White Bear Lake, but we will monitor for potential emerging social risks in this area. The city's governance risks align with our view of the sector on the whole.

Stable Outlook

Upside scenario

If the city's local economy were to improve, demonstrated by higher income indicators, comparable with those of higher-rated peers, we could raise the rating.

Downside scenario

If the city's budgetary performance were to materially deteriorate, thereby reducing the city's reserves or liquidity to levels we no longer would consider commensurate with those of similarly rated peers, we could consider lowering the rating.

Credit Opinion

Very strong economy

We consider White Bear Lake's economy very strong. The city, with an estimated population of 26,029, is located in Ramsey and Washington counties in the Minneapolis-St. Paul-Bloomington MSA, which we consider broad and diverse. The city has a projected per capita effective buying income of 111% of the national level and per capita market value of \$110,667. Overall, the city's market value grew by 7.4% to \$2.9 billion in 2020.

The city has experienced fairly modest effects from the COVID-19 pandemic and ensuing recession. Management reports that some small businesses closed permanently, but that new tenants have either purchased or leased resulting empty real estate. Its largest employers and taxpayers have remained largely stable.

We understand that the city has continued to experience fairly sizable economic developments, and that future developments are expected to include commercial and residential. Management also reports that the continued development of the metropolitan transit system, with bus lines being extended further into the city, would likely spur additional commercial development in the coming years. Residents have access to ample employment throughout the MSA, and robust opportunities exist within the city.

Overall, we anticipate that the city's economic metrics will remain strong for the foreseeable future. . For S&P Global Economics' latest U.S. economic forecast, see "Economic Outlook U.S. Q2 2021: Let The Good Times Roll," published March 24, 2021, on RatingsDirect.

Strong management

We view the city's management as strong, with good financial policies and practices under our financial management assessment methodology, indicating our view that financial practices exist in most areas, but that governance officials might not formalize or monitor all of them on a regular basis.

The city uses two to five years of historical data and available outside sources when preparing budgets. Budget-to-actual reports are shared with the council monthly. The city also maintains a 10-year long-term financial plan and a five-year capital improvement plan, both updated annually. Officials report that they are incorporating the long-term financial plan into more council meetings and discussions. The city has a formal investment management policy and shares investment holdings with the council monthly. The city maintains a formal fund balance policy of 35% to 50% of expenditures, and adheres to state guidelines for its debt management policy.

Strong budgetary performance

White Bear Lake's budgetary performance is strong, in our opinion. The city had operating surpluses of 4.2% of expenditures in the general fund and 13.9% across all governmental funds in fiscal 2020.

We note that we have made a number of adjustments to the city's operating data to better analyze typical operations and facilitate comparisons with peers. For instance, we have treated recurring transfers as revenue and expenditures, and adjusted for one-time grant revenue (stemming from the Coronavirus Aid, Recovery, and Economic Security, or CARES, Act) as well as corresponding one-time expenditures (for community grants) and capital costs paid for with bond proceeds.

Excluding CARES Act revenue, the city's general fund revenue was roughly 61% property taxes, 19% intergovernmental revenue, and 8% licenses and permits.

The fiscal 2021 budget features a smaller, \$26,000 operating surplus, but officials report that it includes expenditures on a number of unfilled vacancies and conservative revenue projections, and does not count \$2.8 million to \$2.9 million in American Rescue Plan funds. Given the aforementioned positive budget variances, as well the city's historically stable operations, we anticipate that the city will maintain strong operations for the foreseeable future.

Very strong budgetary flexibility

White Bear Lake's budgetary flexibility is very strong, in our view, with an available fund balance in fiscal 2020 of 51% of operating expenditures, or \$5.8 million. We expect the available fund balance to remain above 30% of expenditures for the current and next fiscal years, which we view as a positive credit factor.

Given our expectations for budgetary performance, as well as the city's adherence to a formal fund balance policy to maintain 35% to 50% of expenditures on hand, we anticipate that the city will maintain very strong reserves for the foreseeable future. We further note that the city has additional flexibility outside of the general fund stemming from interest earnings on an endowment as well as previous transfers out of the general fund now held as reserves in other funds.

Very strong liquidity

In our opinion, White Bear Lake's liquidity is very strong, with total government available cash at 2.5x total governmental fund expenditures and 28.5x governmental debt service in 2020. In our view, the city has strong access

to external liquidity if necessary.

At the close of fiscal 2020, the city had approximately \$38.1 million in cash and investments across its total government funds and enterprise funds. We believe that the city has strong access to external liquidity because it has issued GO debt regularly over the past 20 years. We do not believe the city's investment practices are aggressive, as it has a formal investment management policy that restricts the authorized investments. The city has no direct placement obligations or contingent liabilities that could impair its liquidity. Overall, we anticipate that liquidity will remain very strong for the foreseeable future given our expectations for the city's budgetary performance.

Weak debt and contingent liability profile

In our view, White Bear Lake's debt and contingent liability profile is weak. Total governmental fund debt service is 8.7% of total governmental fund expenditures, and net direct debt is 119.3% of total governmental fund revenue.

Following the 2021A issuance, the city will have approximately \$22.5 million in debt outstanding. Officials plan to issue roughly \$13.5 million in GO debt within the next year, but given the amortization rate we do not anticipate that issuance will materially change our view of the city's debt burden.

Pension and other postemployment benefit (OPEB) liabilities

White Bear Lake's pension contributions totaled 7.1% of total governmental fund expenditures in 2020. The city made its full required pension contribution.

We do not believe that pension liabilities represent a medium-term credit pressure, as contributions are a fairly modest share of the budget and we believe the city has the capacity to absorb higher costs without pressuring operations.

The city's two largest multiple-employer, defined benefit pension plans have seen improvements in funded status in recent years, though plan statutory contributions have regularly fallen short of actuarial recommendations. Along with certain plan-specific actuarial assumptions and methods, this introduces some long-term risk of funding volatility and cost acceleration.

Although the city funds OPEBs on a pay-as-you-go basis, exposing it to cost acceleration and volatility, we expect that medium-term costs will remain only a small share of total spending and therefore not a significant budgetary pressure.

The city participates in the following defined benefit plans:

- Minnesota General Employees Retirement Fund (GERF): 79% funded (as of June 30, 2020), with a city proportionate share of the plan's net pension liability, estimated at \$3.8 million
- Minnesota Police and Fire Fund (PEPFF): 87.2% funded (June 30, 2020), with a proportionate share of \$4.8 million
- A single-employer pension plan for members of the White Bear Lake Fire Department: 159% funded with a net pension asset of \$2.6 million approximately
- A single-employer OPEB plan, which is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis with a net OPEB liability of \$1.5 million

Total contributions to GERF and PEPFF were 84.9% and 95.6%, respectively, of our minimum funding progress metric in 2020 and were just above static funding in both cases. Annual contributions are based on a statutory formula that has typically produced contributions less than the actuarially determined contribution for each plan, which we think

increases risk of underfunding over time if the state legislature does not make adjustments to meet future funding shortfalls. Other key risks include a 7.5% rate-of-return assumption (for both plans) that indicates some exposure to cost acceleration as a result of market volatility, and an amortization method that significantly defers contributions through a lengthy, closed amortization period based on a level 3.25% payroll growth assumption. Regardless, costs remain only a modest share of total spending and, in our view, are unlikely to pressure the city's medium-term operational health.

Strong institutional framework

The institutional framework score for Minnesota cities with a population greater than 2,500 is strong.

Related Research

- Through The ESG Lens 2.0: A Deeper Dive Into U.S. Public Finance Credit Factors, April 28, 2020
- 2020 Update Of Institutional Framework For U.S. Local Governments

Ratings Detail (As Of May 3, 2021)		
White Bear Lake GO		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
White Bear Lake GO bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
White Bear Lake GO tax abatement bnds		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed
White Bear Lake GO tax incre rev bnds (Boathouse Redevelopment Tax Incre Proj)		
<i>Long Term Rating</i>	AA+/Stable	Affirmed

Certain terms used in this report, particularly certain adjectives used to express our view on rating relevant factors, have specific meanings ascribed to them in our criteria, and should therefore be read in conjunction with such criteria. Please see Ratings Criteria at www.standardandpoors.com for further information. Complete ratings information is available to subscribers of RatingsDirect at www.capitaliq.com. All ratings affected by this rating action can be found on S&P Global Ratings' public website at www.standardandpoors.com. Use the Ratings search box located in the left column.

Copyright © 2021 by Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC. All rights reserved.

No content (including ratings, credit-related analyses and data, valuations, model, software or other application or output therefrom) or any part thereof (Content) may be modified, reverse engineered, reproduced or distributed in any form by any means, or stored in a database or retrieval system, without the prior written permission of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC or its affiliates (collectively, S&P). The Content shall not be used for any unlawful or unauthorized purposes. S&P and any third-party providers, as well as their directors, officers, shareholders, employees or agents (collectively S&P Parties) do not guarantee the accuracy, completeness, timeliness or availability of the Content. S&P Parties are not responsible for any errors or omissions (negligent or otherwise), regardless of the cause, for the results obtained from the use of the Content, or for the security or maintenance of any data input by the user. The Content is provided on an "as is" basis. S&P PARTIES DISCLAIM ANY AND ALL EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING, BUT NOT LIMITED TO, ANY WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE OR USE, FREEDOM FROM BUGS, SOFTWARE ERRORS OR DEFECTS, THAT THE CONTENT'S FUNCTIONING WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED OR THAT THE CONTENT WILL OPERATE WITH ANY SOFTWARE OR HARDWARE CONFIGURATION. In no event shall S&P Parties be liable to any party for any direct, indirect, incidental, exemplary, compensatory, punitive, special or consequential damages, costs, expenses, legal fees, or losses (including, without limitation, lost income or lost profits and opportunity costs or losses caused by negligence) in connection with any use of the Content even if advised of the possibility of such damages.

Credit-related and other analyses, including ratings, and statements in the Content are statements of opinion as of the date they are expressed and not statements of fact. S&P's opinions, analyses and rating acknowledgment decisions (described below) are not recommendations to purchase, hold, or sell any securities or to make any investment decisions, and do not address the suitability of any security. S&P assumes no obligation to update the Content following publication in any form or format. The Content should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. S&P does not act as a fiduciary or an investment advisor except where registered as such. While S&P has obtained information from sources it believes to be reliable, S&P does not perform an audit and undertakes no duty of due diligence or independent verification of any information it receives. Rating-related publications may be published for a variety of reasons that are not necessarily dependent on action by rating committees, including, but not limited to, the publication of a periodic update on a credit rating and related analyses.

To the extent that regulatory authorities allow a rating agency to acknowledge in one jurisdiction a rating issued in another jurisdiction for certain regulatory purposes, S&P reserves the right to assign, withdraw or suspend such acknowledgment at any time and in its sole discretion. S&P Parties disclaim any duty whatsoever arising out of the assignment, withdrawal or suspension of an acknowledgment as well as any liability for any damage alleged to have been suffered on account thereof.

S&P keeps certain activities of its business units separate from each other in order to preserve the independence and objectivity of their respective activities. As a result, certain business units of S&P may have information that is not available to other S&P business units. S&P has established policies and procedures to maintain the confidentiality of certain non-public information received in connection with each analytical process.

S&P may receive compensation for its ratings and certain analyses, normally from issuers or underwriters of securities or from obligors. S&P reserves the right to disseminate its opinions and analyses. S&P's public ratings and analyses are made available on its Web sites, www.standardandpoors.com (free of charge), and www.ratingsdirect.com (subscription), and may be distributed through other means, including via S&P publications and third-party redistributors. Additional information about our ratings fees is available at www.standardandpoors.com/usratingsfees.

STANDARD & POOR'S, S&P and RATINGSDIRECT are registered trademarks of Standard & Poor's Financial Services LLC.



City of White Bear Lake
City Manager's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager
From: Kara Coustry, City Clerk
Date: May 12, 2021
Subject: **Temporary on-sale liquor license for Pine Tree Apple Classic**

BACKGROUND

Minnesota Statute section 340A.404, Subd. 10 states that municipalities may issue temporary on-sale liquor licenses to nonprofit organizations in existence for at least three (3) years. The license may not exceed more than four consecutive days. City Code requires proof of liquor liability insurance.

SUMMARY

Nancy Jacobson submitted an application for a temporary liquor license for the annual Pine Tree Apple Classic fundraiser held at Life Time Fitness located at 4800 White Bear Parkway.

The Pine Tree Apple Classic Fund is a qualifying nonprofit organization that plans to sell beer and wine from an outdoor food tent during the event spanning from August 5 – August 8, 2021. The applicant meets State regulations for temporary liquor licenses, and has provided a copy of the liquor liability insurance certificate required by City Code.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends Council adopt the resolution authorizing temporary on-sale liquor for Pine Tree Apple Classic Fund.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING A TEMPORARY LIQUOR LICENSE FOR
PINE TREE APPLE CLASSIC FUND**

WHEREAS an application for a Temporary On-Sale Liquor License was submitted to the City of White Bear Lake; and,

WHEREAS all the organization qualifies as a nonprofit in existence for at least three years; and,

WHEREAS the required liquor liability insurance has been received as required by City Code; and,

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the White Bear Lake City Council approves a Temporary On-Sale Liquor License as follows

Pine Tree Apple Classic Fund
for the dates of August 5-8, 2021
on the premises of
Life Time Fitness
4800 White Bear Parkway
White Bear Lake, MN 51100

The foregoing resolution offered by Councilmember ____ and supported by Councilmember ____, was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
City Manager's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager
From: Kara Coustry, City Clerk
Date: May 12, 2021
Subject: **Massage Therapist Establishment Licenses**

BACKGROUND

On January 1, 2016, City Ordinance 1127 went into effect which requires all persons performing massage therapy and related businesses to be licensed. The licensee is required to submit documentation which demonstrates they have received the appropriate training and insurance. A criminal history check and financial review are also conducted and approval from the Council is required for all massage related licenses.

SUMMARY

The City received a complete massage therapist establishment license and massage therapist application from Tammy Gerber for her new business called Points of Serenity, to be located at 2025 4th Street, Suite 100. The White Bear Lake Police Department verified the applicant's training credentials, insurance coverage, lease, finances and criminal history reports and found nothing new to preclude issuance of these massage therapist licenses.

Tammy Gerber owner & massage therapist	Points of Serenity 2025 4 th Street, Suite 100
---	--

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends the City Council adopt the attached resolution of approval.

RESOLUTION NO.

**RESOLUTION APPROVING MASSAGE THERAPIST LICENSES
FOR THE BUSINESS CYCLE ENDING MARCH 31, 2022**

BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of White Bear Lake that the following massage related licenses be approved for the business cycle ending March 31, 2022.

Massage Therapist Establishment License

Tammy Gerber	Points of Serenity 2025 4 th Street, Suite 100 White Bear Lake, MN 55110
--------------	---

The foregoing resolution, offered by Councilmember ___ and supported by Councilmember ___, was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
City Engineer's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager

From: Paul Kauppi, Public Works Director/City Engineer

Date: May 27, 2021

Subject: **Lease Amendment No. 2 for T-Mobile at the Century Avenue Water Tower Site**

BACKGROUND / SUMMARY

T-Mobile has requested permission to modify the cellular equipment installed at the Century Avenue Water Tower site. This will be the second amendment to this lease agreement. This amendment will allow for a modification to equipment installed at the site.

The Engineering department has reviewed the proposed equipment modifications by T-Mobile and has approved the plans.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends Council adopt the resolution approving amendments to the T-Mobile lease at the Century Avenue Water Tower site.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.:

**RESOLUTION APPROVING LEASE AMENDMENTS WITH T-MOBILE
FOR PLACEMENT OF COMMUNICATIONS EQUIPMENT
ON THE CITY'S WATER TOWER AT CENTURY AVENUE**

WHEREAS, T-Mobile has been operating telecommunications equipment from the City's water tower at Century Avenue, and

WHEREAS, T-Mobile desires to amend its lease with the City to accommodate equipment modifications which are necessary to be competitive in the current mobile communication business, and

WHEREAS, the City desires to work with T-Mobile to accommodate their needs, and

WHEREAS, the existing lease agreement needs to be amended to accommodate the proposed equipment modifications and term of the lease.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota that:

- 1) The proposed amendment to the lease agreement with T-Mobile for equipment installed at the Century Avenue water tower site is hereby approved which details the equipment modifications and terms of the lease.

The foregoing resolution offered by Councilmember _____ and supported by Councilmember _____, was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
City Engineer's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager

From: Paul Kauppi, Public Works Director/City Engineer

Date: May 25, 2021

Subject: **Awarding Contract for the 2021 Crack Sealing Project
City Project 21-03**

BACKGROUND / SUMMARY

Bids were received on May 5, 2021 for the 2021 Crack Sealing Project. The crack sealing contract includes cleaning of cracks in bituminous street pavements with a router and then filling the cracks with a hot, liquid, rubberized sealant. The crack sealing process prevents water from penetrating through the street pavement and entering the gravel base where it weakens the street and causes failures. The crack sealing process is accomplished ahead of the sealcoating operation so that the smaller cracks not sealed by the crack sealing contractor are sealed by the seal coat emulsion.

Four bids were received with National Industrial Maintenance of East Chicago, IN submitting the lowest bid of \$49,320.00. This contract amount is well within the 2021 Crack Sealing budget.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends that the Council receive the bids and award a contract to National Industrial Maintenance for \$49,320.00 for the 2021 Crack Sealing Project.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.

**RESOLUTION ACCEPTING BIDS AND AWARDING CONTRACT
FOR THE 2021 CRACK SEALING PROGRAM
CITY PROJECT NO. 21-03**

WHEREAS, the Engineering Department prepared specifications for construction of the 2021 Crack Sealing Project; and

WHEREAS, the following bids complying with the request for proposals and specifications were received, opened, and tabulated according to law:

CONTRACTOR	TOTAL BASE BID
National Industrial Maintenance	\$49,320.00
Asphalt Surface Technologies Corp.	\$56,520.00
Fahrner Asphalt Sealers, LLC	\$61,200.00
Allied Blacktop Company	\$74,880.00

WHEREAS, it appears that National Industrial Maintenance is the lowest responsible bidder.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the City Council of the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota that:

1. The Mayor and City Clerk are hereby authorized and directed to enter into contract with National Industrial Maintenance in the amount of \$49,320.00 as approved by the City Council and on file in the office of the City Engineer.
2. The City Clerk is hereby authorized and directed to return forthwith to all bidders the deposits made with their bids, except that the deposits of the successful bidder and the next lowest bidder shall be retained until a contract has been signed.

The foregoing resolution offered by Council Member _____ and supported by Council

Member _____ was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
City Engineer's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager
From: Connie Taillon, Environmental Specialist/Water Resources Engineer
Date: May 19, 2021
Subject: **Surface Water Management Plan Adoption**

BACKGROUND/SUMMARY

Minnesota Statutes, Sections 103B.201 to 103B.255 and Minnesota Rule Chapter 8410 comprise the State's Metropolitan Surface Water Management Program. These Statutes and Rules require the preparation of watershed plans by Watershed Management Organizations (WMOs) and the preparation of local (City) water management plans. Cities having land use planning and regulatory responsibility within a WMO are required to adopt a local water management plan that is consistent with WMO plans and that addresses priority surface water related issues in the community.

As part of the City's Comprehensive Plan update, Minnesota Statutes, section 473.859, subdivision 2 requires that the City's local Surface Water Management Plan (SWMP), which was last approved in 1997, be updated and included in its Comprehensive Plan. Since late 2017, the Engineering Department worked with partners to complete the SWMP update.

Minnesota Statutes requires that a draft of the updated SWMP be distributed for agency review by the Metropolitan Council and respective counties and WMOs, and for approval by the WMOs prior to City Council adoption. At its November 10, 2020 regular meeting, the City Council accepted the draft updated SWMP and authorized its distribution for formal agency review. Comments arising from the formal agency review and from peer review by the Environmental Advisory Commission and City staff have been incorporated into the SWMP.

Between February and April of this year, the WMOs formally approved the City's updated SWMP. After approval of the SWMP by the WMOs, the City shall adopt and implement its SWMP. The SWMP will be formally incorporated into the City's 2040 Comprehensive Plan upon its adoption by Council.

The updated SWMP may be found on the City's website, under the Engineering Department menu:

<https://www.whitebearlake.org/ee/page/2021-2030-surface-water-management-plan-update-draft>

Paper copies of the updated SWMP are available upon request.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends that Council approve the attached resolution adopting the updated City of White Bear Lake Surface Water Management Plan.

ATTACHMENT

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.

**RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE 2021-2030 LOCAL SURFACE WATER
MANAGEMENT PLAN**

WHEREAS, the City of White Bear Lake (“City”) is required by Minnesota Statutes, Sections 103B.201 to 103B.255 and Minnesota Rule Chapter 8410 to adopt a local water management plan to preserve and enhance surface and groundwater resources, fish and wildlife habitat, and water recreational facilities; and

WHEREAS, the local water management plan is also part of the City’s land use plan, which Minnesota Statutes, section 473.859, subdivision 2 requires to be included in the City’s Comprehensive Plan; and

WHEREAS, the City has updated its Surface Water Management Plan (“SWMP”) in accordance with Minnesota Statutes 103B and Minnesota Rules 8410; and

WHEREAS, on November 13, 2020 the City submitted its SWMP for formal review to Metropolitan Council, Rice Creek Watershed District (“RCWD”), Ramsey Washington Metro Watershed District (“RWMWD”), Valley Branch Watershed District (“VBWD”), and Vadnais Lake Area Water Management Organization (“VLAWMO”) in accordance with Minnesota Statutes 103B.235; and

WHEREAS, the RCWD Board of Managers approved the SWMP on April 14, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the VBWD Board of Managers approved the SWMP on April 8, 2021; and

WHEREAS, the VLAWMO Board of Managers approved the SWMP on February 26, 2021; and

WHEREAS, no comments were received by RWMWD and the SWMP was deemed approved in accordance with Minnesota Statutes 103B.235; and

WHEREAS, after approval of the SWMP by RCWD, RWMWD, VBWD, and VLAWMO, the City shall adopt and implement its SWMP; and

WHEREAS, the City Council desires to adopt the SWMP to satisfy its statutory obligation and accomplish the goals set out in the plan.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, by the White Bear Lake City Council as follows:

1. The updated Surface Water Management Plan, as presented, is hereby adopted.
2. The City Manager, or designee, is hereby authorized and directed to incorporate the updated Surface Water Management Plan into the City’s Comprehensive Plan and is further authorized to take all other actions as may be needed to implement the SWMP.

RESOLUTION NO.

The foregoing resolution offered by Council Member _____ and supported by Council Member _____ was declared carried on _____, 2021 by the following vote:

Ayes:

Nays:

Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk



City of White Bear Lake
City Manager's Office

MEMORANDUM

To: Ellen Hiniker, City Manager

From: Kara Coustry, City Clerk

Date: March 4, 2021

Subject: **Road closure and single event liquor extension for Manitou Grill**

BACKGROUND / SUMMARY

On behalf of Manitou Grill & Event Center, Ross Carter submitted a special event application to host a block party on July 3, 2021 from 7:00 p.m. – 10:00 p.m. The request entails closing Washington Square north at 4th Street to Frattalones for a street dance and outdoor, amplified music by a five piece band performing popular songs of the past 40 years.

Manitou Grill is also requesting a single event liquor extension in order to service attendees of the event. Approval of the liquor extension would be conditioned on a staff approved alcohol control plan such that people with open container are confined to the area contiguous to the event center, in addition to receipt of liquor liability insurance covering the area.

Any power cords would be taped down to avoid a tripping hazard, additional refuse containers will be placed in the area and restrooms would be available in the restaurant. Outdoor BBQ operations are also being considered in the scope of this event and staff will work with the applicants regarding proposed BBQ service. The group has requested that Public Works staff drop off five picnic tables, a barricade and cones to assist with this Manitou Days event.

Main Street, Manitou Days and business owners along Washington Square have approved the event and road closure request.

RECOMMENDED COUNCIL ACTION

Staff recommends approval of the attached resolution authorizing an on-sale liquor extension for Manitou Grill and the Washington Square road closure north at 4th Street.

ATTACHMENTS

Resolution

RESOLUTION NO.

**A RESOLUTION APPROVING AN ON-SALE LIQUOR EXTENSION FOR
MANITOU GRILL & EVENT CENTER AND
ROAD CLOSURE OF WASHINGTON SQUARE, NORTH OF 4TH STREET**

WHEREAS, a proposal has been submitted by Manitou Grill & Event Center (Manitou Grill) to host a block party on Saturday, July 3rd from 7:00 p.m. through 10:00 p.m.; and

WHEREAS, the request entails closing Washington Square north at 4th Street to Frattalones for a street dance, amplified music and liquor service; and

WHEREAS, Manitou Grill has requested an on-sale liquor license extension in order to service attendees of the event: and

NOW THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the White Bear Lake City Council approves closure of Washington Square north at 4th Street in order to host this Manitou Days event.

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that event attendees would be permitted to consume alcohol within the controlled area north of 4th Street along Washington Square conditioned upon the following:

1. No stakes are permitted to be placed into the grounds.
2. No vehicles are permitted on park grounds to protect irrigation systems.
3. A plan for control of a designated area of alcohol consumption, which has been approved by the White Bear Lake Police Department.
4. Proof of liquor liability insurance covering the cordoned area.

The foregoing resolution offered by Councilmember ____ and supported by Councilmember ____, was declared carried on the following vote:

Ayes:
Nays:
Passed:

Jo Emerson, Mayor

ATTEST:

Kara Coustry, City Clerk

FYI

You are invited to an online
*Community
Housing Forum*

Thursday, June 10th
7pm-8:30pm

Info & Registration:
whitebearlake.org/housing



CITY OF WHITE BEAR LAKE SUMMARY OF ZONING ACTIVITY

APRIL 2021

SIGN PERMITS	05
ZONING PERMITS	46
OTHER PERMITS	13
ZONING LETTERS ¹	02
ZONING CALLS ²	00
ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCES	08
LAND USE CASES*	05
MISCELLANEOUS INQUIRIES	80
MEETINGS	14
SITE INSPECTIONS	03
ENFORCEMENT LETTERS	00
OTHER / MISC [^]	00
TOTAL	176

TOTAL YEAR TO DATE 2021

SIGN PERMITS	12
ZONING PERMITS	67
OTHER PERMITS	43
ZONING LETTERS ¹	05
ZONING CALLS ²	01
ADMINISTRATIVE VARIANCES	11
LAND USE CASES	12
MISCELLANEOUS INQUIRIES	268
MEETINGS	64
SITE INSPECTIONS	08
ENFORCEMENT LETTERS	00
OTHER / MISC	02
TOTAL	493

1. A zoning letter indicates that a commercial property is being sold or refinanced.
2. A zoning call indicates that a residential property is being sold or refinanced.

* Mattson Fence Variance, Lot Recombination, Flannagan Pool Variance, 3551 Property Group CUP, & Bree LLC Variance.

[^] --

APRIL 2020**CODE VIOLATIONS**

Ward	1	2	3	4	5	Total
Refuse / Exterior Storage	1	11	2	0	3	17
Inoperable Vehicle(s)	1	1	0	1	0	3
Parking (including on grass)	3	2	1	0	2	8
Weeds	0	0	0	0	0	0
Miscellaneous	6	4	0	4	4	18
Structure Maintenance	5	0	0	0	0	5
Complaints	6	10	0	2	7	25
Proactive / City Initiated	10	8	3	3	2	26

Ward	1	2	3	4	5	Total
# of Cases Open	10	6	3	1	6	26
# of Cases Closed	6	12	0	4	3	25

Ward	1	2	3	4	5	Total
County Citations	0	0	0	0	0	0

LICENSE BUREAU PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

April 30, 2021

FEES AND TRANSACTION COUNTS

	MONTHLY				CUMULATIVE		
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>
FEES	\$63,532	\$22,179	186.5%		\$203,297	\$223,101	-8.9%
TAB RENEWALS	2,189	1,127	94.2%		6,927	12,863	-46.1%
TITLE TRANSACTIONS	2,453	1,141	115.0%		8,529	7,723	10.4%
DEALERS	2,286	913	150.4%		8,027	5,906	35.9%
TOTAL MV	4,642	2,268	104.7%		15,456	20,586	-24.9%
D.L.	215	0	#DIV/0!		826	5,185	-84.1%
DNR	606	294	106.1%		1,409	1,600	-11.9%
GAME & FISH	39	3	1200.0%		70	25	180.0%
GRAND TOTAL	5,502	2,565	114.5%		17,761	27,396	-35.2%

PERFORMANCE BY HOURS

	MONTHLY				CUMULATIVE		
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>
TOTAL EMPLOYEE HRS	1,963.48	1,488.50	31.9%		5,379.79	6,192.57	-13.1%
OVERTIME HOURS	2.35	0.00	#DIV/0!		11.85	70.00	-83.1%
TRANS PER HOUR*	2.80	1.72	62.9%		3.30	4.42	-25.3%

PASSPORTS PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

	MONTHLY				CUMULATIVE		
	<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>		<u>2021</u>	<u>2020</u>	<u>%</u>
APPLICATION #	0	0	#DIV/0!		0	420	-100.0%
APPLICATION \$	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!		\$0	\$14,700	-100.0%
PHOTO #	0	0	#DIV/0!		0	429	-100.0%
PHOTO \$	\$0	\$0	#DIV/0!		\$0	\$6,435	-100.0%

SUMMARY OF PERMITS	MONTHLY			YEARLY		
APRIL 2021 MAHTOMEDI	THIS MONTH	LAST YEAR THIS MONTH	CHANGE IN NUMBERS	THIS YEAR TO DATE	LAST YEAR TO DATE	CHANGE IN NUMBERS

PERMIT TOTALS:

Comm./Ind. (New)	0	0	0	0	0	0
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	0	1	-1	0	4	-4
S.F. Dwelling (New)	2	0	2	2	3	-1
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	28	22	6	79	65	14
Garage Only	1	2	-1	2	4	-2
Other Building Permits	0	1	-1	4	2	2
Demolition	0	0	0	0	0	0
Electrical (Quarterly)	0	0	0	41	60	-19
All Other Permit Types	42	27	15	116	114	2
ALL PERMIT TYPE TOTALS:	73	53	20	244	252	-8

PERMIT VALUATION:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$0	\$4,566,072	-\$4,566,072	\$0	\$5,341,072	-\$5,341,072
S.F. Dwelling (New)	\$1,300,000	\$0	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$2,360,000	-\$1,060,000
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$402,858	\$369,053	\$33,805	\$1,294,432	\$1,730,731	-\$436,299
Garage Only	\$17,280	\$50,000	-\$32,720	\$18,620	\$52,200	-\$33,580
Fire Suppression	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$71,650	-\$71,650
Heating (HVAC)	\$51,393	\$74,145	-\$22,752	\$407,980	\$771,398	-\$363,418
Other Building Permits:	\$0	\$15,000	-\$15,000	\$132,160	\$23,458	\$108,702
VALUATION TOTALS:	\$1,771,531	\$5,074,270	-\$3,302,739	\$3,153,192	\$10,350,509	-\$7,197,317

PERMIT FEES:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$0	\$20,260	-\$20,260	\$0	\$25,914	-\$25,914
S.F. Dwelling(New)	\$9,183	\$0	\$9,183	\$9,183	\$16,019	-\$6,836
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$6,107	\$5,260	\$847	\$19,625	\$20,167	-\$542
Garage Only	\$340	\$829	-\$489	\$420	\$989	-\$569
Other Building Permits	\$0	\$292	-\$292	\$765	\$467	\$298
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Electrical (Quarterly)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$5,541	\$5,690	-\$149
All Other Permit Types	\$6,159	\$2,240	\$3,919	\$13,741	\$17,947	-\$4,206
PERMIT FEE TOTALS:	\$21,789	\$28,881	-\$7,092	\$49,275	\$87,193	-\$37,918
PLAN FEES:	\$5,516	\$14,821	-\$9,305	\$8,398	\$30,835	-\$22,437
TOTAL PERMIT & PLAN FEES:	\$27,305	\$43,702	-\$16,397	\$57,673	\$118,028	-\$60,355

Park Fees	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
SAC Fees	\$9,940	\$2,485	\$7,455	\$9,940	\$9,940	\$0

WHITE BEAR LAKE & MAHTOMEDI COMPARISON OF PERMITS FOR

MONTHLY COMPARISONS <i>APRIL 2021</i>	2021 WBL YTD	2021 MA YTD	2021 WBL & MA YTD	2020 WBL YTD	2020 MA YTD	2020 WBL & MA YTD	WBL CHANGE IN NUMBERS	WBL % CHANGE	WBL & MA CHANGE IN NUMBERS	WBL & MA % CHANGE	MA % OF TOTAL ACTIVITY
---	---	--	--	---	--	--	--	-------------------------------	---	--	---

PERMIT TOTALS:

Comm./Ind. (New)	0	0	0	1	0	1	-1	-100%	-1	-100%	#DIV/0!
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	28	0	28	20	4	24	8	40%	4	17%	0%
S.F. Dwelling (New)	3	2	5	1	3	4	2	200%	1	25%	40%
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	246	79	325	107	65	172	139	130%	153	89%	24%
Garage Only	4	2	6	2	4	6	2	100%	0	0%	33%
Other Building Permits	8	4	12	83	2	85	-75	-90%	-73	-86%	33%
Demolition	3	0	3	3	0	3	0	0%	0	0%	0%
Electrical	176	41	217	130	60	190	46	35%	27	14%	19%
All Other Permit Types	353	116	469	277	114	391	76	27%	78	20%	25%
ALL PERMIT TYPE TOTALS:	821	244	1065	624	252	876	197	32%	189	22%	23%

PERMIT VALUATION:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,600,000	\$0	\$8,600,000	-\$8,600,000	-100%	-\$8,600,000	-100%	#DIV/0!
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$5,207,190	\$0	\$5,207,190	\$3,780,098	\$5,341,072	\$9,121,170	\$1,427,092	38%	-\$3,913,980	-43%	0%
S.F. Dwelling (New)	\$2,317,960	\$1,300,000	\$3,617,960	\$2,673,363	\$2,360,000	\$5,033,363	-\$355,403	-13%	-\$1,415,403	-28%	36%
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$4,155,397	\$1,294,432	\$5,449,829	\$2,312,750	\$1,730,731	\$4,043,481	\$1,842,647	80%	\$1,406,348	35%	24%
Garage Only	\$73,680	\$18,620	\$92,300	\$33,500	\$52,200	\$85,700	\$40,180	120%	\$6,600	8%	20%
Fire Suppression	\$219,209	\$0	\$219,209	\$423,777	\$71,650	\$495,427	-\$204,568	-48%	-\$276,218	-56%	0%
Heating (HVAC)	\$2,556,393	\$407,980	\$2,964,373	\$960,488	\$771,398	\$1,731,886	\$1,595,905	166%	\$1,232,487	71%	14%
Other Building Permits	\$137,800	\$132,160	\$269,960	\$76,637	\$23,458	\$100,095	\$61,163	80%	\$169,865	170%	49%
VALUATION TOTALS:	\$14,667,629	\$3,153,192	\$17,820,821	\$18,860,613	\$10,350,509	\$29,211,122	-\$4,192,984	-22%	-\$11,390,301	-39%	18%

PERMIT FEES:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,312	\$0	\$46,312	-\$46,312	-100%	-\$46,312	-100%	#DIV/0!
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$33,868	\$0	\$33,868	\$28,560	\$25,914	\$54,474	\$5,308	19%	-\$20,606	-38%	0%
S.F. Dwelling(New)	\$16,017	\$9,183	\$25,200	\$6,412	\$16,019	\$22,431	\$9,605	150%	\$2,769	12%	36%
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$56,564	\$19,625	\$76,189	\$48,778	\$20,168	\$68,946	\$7,786	16%	\$7,243	11%	26%
Garage Only	\$1,364	\$420	\$1,784	\$648	\$989	\$1,637	\$716	110%	\$147	9%	24%
Other Building Permits	\$1,626	\$765	\$2,391	\$1,641	\$467	\$2,108	-\$15	-1%	\$283	13%	32%
Demolition	\$13,800	\$0	\$13,800	\$485	\$0	\$485	\$13,315	2745%	\$13,315	2745%	0%
Electrical	\$16,275	\$5,541	\$21,816	\$13,083	\$5,690	\$18,773	\$3,192	24%	\$3,043	16%	25%
All Other Permit Types	\$49,226	\$13,741	\$62,967	\$29,561	\$17,947	\$47,508	\$19,665	67%	\$15,459	33%	22%
PERMIT FEE TOTALS:	\$188,740	\$49,275	\$238,015	\$175,480	\$87,194	\$262,674	\$13,260	8%	-\$24,659	-9%	21%
PLAN FEES:	\$34,519	\$8,398	\$42,917	\$50,976	\$30,835	\$81,811	-\$16,457	-32%	-\$38,894	-48%	20%
TOTAL PERMIT & PLAN FEES:	\$223,259	\$57,673	\$280,932	\$226,456	\$118,029	\$344,485	-\$3,197	-1%	-\$63,553	-18%	21%

Park Fees	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,200	\$0	\$1,200	-\$200	-17%	-\$200	-17%	0%
SAC Fees	\$34,790	\$9,940	\$44,730	\$42,245	\$9,940	\$52,185	-\$7,455	-18%	-\$7,455	-14%	22%

SUMMARY OF PERMITS	MONTHLY			YEARLY		
APRIL 21 WHITE BEAR LAKE	THIS MONTH	LAST YEAR THIS MONTH	CHANGE IN NUMBERS	THIS YEAR TO DATE	LAST YEAR TO DATE	CHANGE IN NUMBERS

PERMIT TOTALS:

Comm./Ind. (New)	0	0	0	0	1	-1
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	11	4	7	28	20	8
S.F. Dwelling (New)	2	0	2	3	1	2
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	99	77	22	246	184	62
Garage Only	2	1	1	4	2	2
Other Building Permits	3	0	3	8	6	2
Demolition	0	0	0	3	3	0
Electrical (Quarterly)	55	34	21	176	130	46
All Other Permit Types	118	80	38	353	277	76
ALL PERMIT TYPE TOTALS:	290	196	94	821	624	197

PERMIT VALUATION:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$8,600,000	-\$8,600,000
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$964,230	\$930,250	\$33,980	\$5,207,190	\$3,780,098	\$1,427,092
S.F. Dwelling (New)	\$817,960	\$0	\$817,960	\$2,317,960	\$700,000	\$1,617,960
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$1,468,225	\$819,827	\$648,398	\$4,155,397	\$4,286,113	-\$130,716
Garage Only	\$24,180	\$15,000	\$9,180	\$73,680	\$33,500	\$40,180
Fire Suppression	\$3,000	\$4,400	-\$1,400	\$219,209	\$423,777	-\$204,568
Heating (HVAC)	\$159,660	\$295,386	-\$135,726	\$2,556,393	\$960,488	\$1,595,905
Other Building Permits:	\$94,250	\$0	\$94,250	\$137,800	\$76,637	\$61,163
VALUATION TOTALS:	\$3,531,505	\$2,064,863	\$1,466,642	\$14,667,629	\$18,860,613	-\$4,192,984

PERMIT FEES:

Comm./Ind. (New)	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$46,312	-\$46,312
Comm./Ind. (Alt)	\$10,478	\$6,762	\$3,716	\$33,868	\$28,560	\$5,308
S.F. Dwelling(New)	\$7,345	\$0	\$7,345	\$16,017	\$6,412	\$9,605
S.F. Dwelling (Alt)	\$20,424	\$13,372	\$7,052	\$56,564	\$48,778	\$7,786
Garage Only	\$503	\$292	\$211	\$1,364	\$648	\$716
Other Building Permits	\$517	\$0	\$517	\$1,626	\$1,641	-\$15
Demolition	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$13,800	\$485	\$13,315
Electrical (Quarterly)	\$4,696	\$4,329	\$367	\$16,275	\$13,083	\$3,192
All Other Permit Types	\$7,989	\$7,030	\$959	\$49,226	\$29,561	\$19,665
PERMIT FEE TOTALS:	\$51,951	\$31,785	\$20,166	\$188,740	\$175,480	\$13,260
PLAN FEES:	\$9,273	\$6,065	\$3,208	\$34,519	\$50,977	-\$16,458
TOTAL PERMIT & PLAN FEES:	\$61,224	\$37,850	\$23,374	\$223,258	\$226,457	-\$3,199

Park Fees	\$1,000	\$0	\$1,000	\$1,000	\$1,200	-\$200
SAC Fees	\$7,455	\$0	\$7,455	\$34,790	\$42,245	-\$7,455

SPORTS CENTER April 2021	Monthly Revenue	YTD Revenue Last Month	2021 YTD Revenue	2020 YTD Revenue	YTD Comparison
<u>Ice Rental Usage</u>					
Ice Rental non Tax	\$0.00	\$61,765.05	\$61,765.05	\$48,897.25	\$12,867.80
Ice Rental Tax	\$36,266.69	\$15,234.97	\$51,501.66	\$13,020.35	\$38,481.31
Subtotal Ice Rental	\$36,266.69	\$77,000.02	\$113,266.71	\$61,917.60	\$51,349.11
<u>Skate School</u>					
Skate School	\$4,378.00	\$10,079.50	\$14,457.50	-\$204.86	\$14,662.36
Skate School Drop In	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
Early Morning Ice	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$623.00	-\$623.00
Early Morning Ice Pass	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$3,276.00	-\$3,276.00
Freestyle	\$903.00	\$20,688.50	\$21,591.50	\$1,393.00	\$20,198.50
Power	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Team Compulsory	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Student Teaching	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sleep Over	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Subtotal Skate School	\$5,281.00	\$30,768.00	\$36,049.00	\$5,087.14	\$30,961.86
<u>Skate Camp</u>					
Show Registration	\$1,940.50	\$11,175.00	\$13,115.50	\$10,030.50	\$3,085.00
Show	\$4,094.00	\$0.00	\$4,094.00	-\$2,730.00	\$6,824.00
Competition Ad	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$35.00	-\$35.00
Competition	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$45.00	-\$45.00
Competition Registration	\$310.00	\$0.00	\$310.00	-\$110.00	\$420.00
Subtotal Skate Camp	\$6,344.50	\$11,175.00	\$17,519.50	\$7,270.50	\$10,249.00
<u>Open Skate</u>					
Open Skate	\$0.00	\$315.00	\$315.00	\$765.00	-\$450.00
Open Skate Pass	\$1,305.00	\$4,777.00	\$6,082.00	\$2,075.00	\$4,007.00
Open Hockey	\$642.00	\$1,538.00	\$2,180.00	\$1,830.00	\$350.00
Open Hockey Pass	\$288.00	\$525.00	\$813.00	\$186.00	\$627.00
Dead Ice 1 hr	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$420.00	-\$420.00
Dead Ice Pass	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Broomball	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Special Events	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sub Total Open Skate	\$2,235.00	\$7,155.00	\$9,390.00	\$5,276.00	\$4,114.00
Hockey Game Receipts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5,671.00	-\$5,671.00

SPORTS CENTER April 2021	Monthly Revenue	YTD Revenue Last Month	2021 YTD Revenue	2020 YTD Revenue	YTD Comparison
<u>Courts</u>					
Racquetball/Handball	\$392.00	\$1,112.00	\$1,504.00	\$2,026.00	-\$522.00
Wallyball	\$0.00	\$224.00	\$224.00	\$3,576.50	-\$3,352.50
Special Events-Courts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	-\$294.00	\$294.00
Birthday Party - Courts	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Sub Total Courts	\$392.00	\$1,336.00	\$1,728.00	\$5,308.50	-\$3,580.50

<u>Rental Income</u>					
Skate Rental	\$32.00	\$52.00	\$84.00	\$408.00	-\$324.00
Locker Rental	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$70.00	-\$70.00
Shower/Sauna	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Meeting Room Rental	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$30.00	-\$30.00
Aerobic Room Rental	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$120.00	-\$120.00
Birthday Party-Ice	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$570.00	-\$570.00
Girls HS Lease Agreement	\$0.00	\$8,000.00	\$8,000.00	\$0.00	\$8,000.00
Subtotal Rental Income	\$32.00	\$8,052.00	\$8,084.00	\$1,198.00	\$6,886.00

<u>Ice Time Allocation</u>	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours	Hours
Hockey	16.25	494.5	510.75	357	153.75
Skate School	98	279.75	377.75	227	150.75
Private	31	53.5	84.5	50	34.5
Open Skate	42.5	160	202.5	197.25	5.25
Unused	58	144	202	38	164
Total Ice Time Allocated	245.75	1131.75	1377.50	869.25	508.25

Donations	\$250.00	\$200.00	\$450.00	\$0.00	\$450.00
------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------------	---------------	-----------------

<u>Vending Machine Sales</u>					
Vending Canteen	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Vending Grand Prix	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$251.61	-\$251.61
Vending Jubilee	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Vending Machine Subtotal	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$251.61	-\$251.61

<u>Concessions</u>					
Concession Stand Profits	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$815.00	-\$815.00
Hockey Tape	\$0.00	\$2.80	\$2.80	\$8.40	-\$5.60
Mouthguards	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$2.80	-\$2.80
Skate Laces	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$5.60	-\$5.60
Skate Guards	\$14.90	\$0.00	\$14.90	\$0.00	\$14.90

SPORTS CENTER	Monthly	YTD Revenue	2021 YTD	2020 YTD	YTD
April 2021	Revenue	Last Month	Revenue	Revenue	Comparison
Gloves	\$0.00	\$5.60	\$5.60	\$0.00	\$5.60
Fuzzy Gloves	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Pins	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Gel Pads	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Tights Adult	\$176.00	\$60.00	\$236.00	\$16.00	\$220.00
Tights Child	\$168.00	\$0.00	\$168.00	\$42.00	\$126.00
Soaker	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$16.77	-\$16.77
Show Video	\$2,304.00	\$0.00	\$2,304.00	\$0.00	\$2,304.00
Subtotal Concessions	\$358.90	\$68.40	\$427.30	\$906.57	-\$479.27

Miscellaneous Revenue

Acct Rec					
ISI					
Bear Store Lease	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
NSF Fee	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Rink Advertising	\$2,025.00	\$2,250.00	\$4,275.00	\$2,500.00	\$1,775.00
Miscellaneous	\$497.09	\$71.00	\$568.09	\$0.00	\$568.09
CARES FUNDS EXP REIMB 8/31/2	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
R/C CARES FUNDS-SC UNEMP CC	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00
Subtotal Miscellaneous	\$2,522.09	\$2,321.00	\$4,843.09	\$2,500.00	\$2,343.09
Over/Short	\$0.01	\$0.04	\$0.05	\$2.02	-\$1.97

Total Sport Center Revenue	\$55,986.19	\$138,075.46	\$194,061.65	\$95,388.94	\$98,672.71
-----------------------------------	--------------------	---------------------	---------------------	--------------------	--------------------

Armory

Damage Deposit Res	\$0.00	\$375.00	\$375.00	\$2,800.00	-\$2,425.00
Damage Deposit Non Res	\$1,350.00	\$0.00	\$1,350.00	\$1,000.00	\$350.00
Armory Res	\$0.00	\$2,861.00	\$2,861.00	\$8,632.00	-\$5,771.00
Armory Non Res	\$972.50	\$100.00	\$1,072.50	\$6,275.00	-\$5,202.50
Armory Police	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$0.00	\$1,413.00	-\$1,413.00
Armory Clean	\$34.50	\$0.00	\$34.50	\$0.00	\$34.50
Total Revenue Armory	\$2,322.50	\$3,336.00	\$5,658.50	\$20,120.00	-\$14,461.50

Boatworks Commons Rentals	\$0.00	\$105.00	\$105.00	\$2,020.00	-\$1,915.00
----------------------------------	---------------	-----------------	-----------------	-------------------	--------------------