

Other Required Reports

City of White Bear Lake White Bear Lake, Minnesota

For the Year Ended December 31, 2020





City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota Other Required Reports Table of Contents For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

	Page No.
Other Required Reports	
Independent Auditor's Report	
on Minnesota Legal Compliance	3
Independent Auditor's Report on Internal Control Over Financial	
Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on	
an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance	
with Government Auditing Standards	4
Federal Financial Award Programs	
Independent Auditor's Report on Compliance	
for Each Major Program and on Internal Control over	
Compliance Required by The Uniform Guidance	7
Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	9
Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards	10
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	11



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON MINNESOTA LEGAL COMPLIANCE

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America, and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota (the City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 21, 2021.

In connection with our audit, nothing came to our attention that caused us to believe that the City failed to comply with the provisions of the contracting and bidding, deposits and investments, conflicts of interest, public indebtedness, claims and disbursements, miscellaneous provisions, and tax increment financing sections of the *Minnesota Legal Compliance Audit Guide for Cities*, promulgated by the State Auditor pursuant to Minn. Stat. § 6.65, insofar as they relate to accounting matters. However, our audit was not directed primarily toward obtaining knowledge of such noncompliance. Accordingly, had we performed additional procedures, other matters may have come to our attention regarding the City's noncompliance with the above referenced provisions, insofar as they relate to accounting matters.

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

ABDO, EICK & MEYERS, LLP Minneapolis, Minnesota

rdo Eich & Mayers, LLP

April 21, 2021



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIALSTATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the financial statements of the governmental activities, the business-type activities, each major fund and the aggregate remaining fund information of the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota (the City), as of and for the year ended December 31, 2020, and the related notes to the financial statements, which collectively comprise the City's basic financial statements, and have issued our report thereon dated April 21, 2021.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the City's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the entity's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies and therefore, material weaknesses or significant deficiencies may exist that were not identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the City's financial statements are free from material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the financial statements. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit, and accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our tests disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters, that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the City's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

ABDO, EICK & MEYERS, LLP Minneapolis, Minnesota

April 21, 2021



FEDERAL FINANCIAL AWARD PROGRAMS

CITY OF WHITE BEAR LAKE WHITE BEAR LAKE, MINNESOTA

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2020



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON COMPLIANCE FOR EACH MAJOR PROGRAM AND ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER COMPLIANCE REQUIRED BY THE UNIFORM GUIDANCE

Honorable Mayor and City Council City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota

Report on Compliance for Each Major Federal Program

We have audited the City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota (the City) compliance with the types of compliance requirements described in the *OMB Compliance Supplement* that could have a direct and material effect on each of the City's major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2020. The City's major federal programs are identified in the summary of auditor's results section of the accompanying Schedule of Findings, Responses and Questioned Costs.

Management's Responsibility

Management is responsible for compliance with federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of its federal awards applicable to its federal programs.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on compliance for each of the City's major federal programs based on our audit of the types of compliance requirements referred to above. We conducted our audit of compliance in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America; the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States; and the audit requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (*Uniform Guidance*). Those standards and *Uniform Guidance* require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether noncompliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on a major federal program occurred. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence about the City's compliance with those requirements and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances.

We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion on compliance for each major federal program. However, our audit does not provide a legal determination of the City's compliance.

Opinion on Each Major Federal Program

In our opinion, the City complied, in all material respects, with the types of compliance requirements referred to above that could have a direct and material effect on each of its major federal programs for the year ended December 31, 2020.

Report on Internal Control Over Compliance

Management of the City is responsible for establishing and maintaining effective internal control over compliance with the types of compliance requirements referred to above. In planning and performing our audit, we considered the City's internal control over compliance with the types of requirements that could have a direct and material effect on each major Federal program to determine the auditing procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinion on compliance for each major federal program and to test and report on internal control over compliance in accordance with the *Uniform Guidance*, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of internal control over compliance. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the City's internal control over compliance.

A deficiency in internal control over compliance exists when the design or operation of a control over compliance does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program on a timely basis. A material weakness in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance, such that there is a reasonable possibility that material noncompliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A significant deficiency in internal control over compliance is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over compliance with a type of compliance requirement of a federal program that is less severe than a material weakness in internal control over compliance, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over compliance was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over compliance that might be material weaknesses or significant deficiencies. We did not identify any deficiencies in internal control over compliance that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

The purpose of this report on internal control over compliance is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over compliance and the results of that testing based on the requirements of the Uniform Guidance. Accordingly, this report is not suitable for any other purpose.

ABDO, EICK & MEYERS, LLP Minneapolis, Minnesota

Oldo Eich & Mayus, LlP

April 21, 2021



City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

Federal Funding Source	Administering Department	Program Name	Federal Domestic Assistance Number	Federal Program Clusters		Program Fede		Total Federal penditures
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development	Minnesota Department of Trade and Economic Development	Community Development Block Grants	14.228			\$	379,351	
U.S. Department of Transportation	Minnesota Department Transportation	State and Community Highway Safety	20.600	\$	5,797	(1)		
U.S. Department of Transportation	Minnesota Department Public Safety	National Priority Safety Programs	20.616		18,100 16,011			
		Total Highway Safety Cluster	r				39,908	
U.S. Department of Transportation	Minnesota Department Public Safety	Minimum Penalties for Repeat Offenders for Driving While Intoxicated	20.608				47,244 22,820	
The Office of National Drug Control Policy	Minnesota Department Public Safety	High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas	95.001				1,962	
U.S. Department of Justice		Bulletproof Vest Partnership	16.607				5,829	
U.S. Department of Health and Human Services	Minnesota Department of Human Services	Provider Relief Fund	93.498				26,658	
U.S. Department of Treasury	Minnesota Management and Budget	Coronavirus Relief Fund	21.019				1,918,012	
Total						\$	2,441,784	

⁽¹⁾ - Denotes Highway Safety Cluster

City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota Notes to the Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

Note 1: Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Schedule of Expenditures of Federal Awards presents the activity of all federal awards programs of City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota (the City). The City's reporting entity is defined in Note 1A to the City's financial statements. The information in this schedule is presented in accordance with the requirements of Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (*Uniform Guidance*). All federal awards received directly from Federal agencies as well as Federal awards passed through other government agencies are included on the schedule.

Note 2: Summary of Significant Accounting Policies for Expenditures

Expenditures reported on this schedule are reported on the modified accrual basis of accounting. Such expenditures are recognized following, as applicable, either the cost principles in OMB Circular A-122, Cost Principles for Non-Profit-Organizations, or the cost principles contained in Title 2 U.S. Code of Federal Regulations Part 200, Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, wherein certain types of expenditures are not allowable or are limited as to reimbursement.

Note 3: Pass-through Entity Identifying Numbers

Pass-through entity identifying numbers are presented where available.

Note 4: Sub recipients

No federal expenditures presented in this schedule were provided to sub recipients.

Note 5: Indirect Cost Rate

During the year ended December 31, 2020, the City did not elect to use the 10 percent de Minimis indirect cost rate.

City of White Bear Lake, Minnesota Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs For the Year Ended December 31, 2020

Section I - Summary of Auditor's Results

Financial Statements

Federal Awards

Internal control over major programs

Material weaknesses identified?

Significant deficiencies identified not considered to be material weaknesses?

None reported

Type of auditor's report issued on compliance for major programs

Any audit findings disclosed that are required to be reported in accordance with

2CFR section 200.516(a).

CFDA No.

Coronavirus Relief Fund 21.019

Dollar threshold used to distinguish between Type A and Type B Programs \$ 750,000

Auditee qualified as low-risk auditee?

Section II - Financial Statement Findings

Identification of Major Programs/Projects

None.

Section III - Maior Federal Award Findings and Questioned Costs

There are no significant deficiencies, material weaknesses, or instances of noncompliance including questioned costs that are required to be reported in accordance with *Uniform Guidance*.

Other Issues

A Corrective Action Plan is not required because there were no findings required to be reported under 2CFR section 200.516(a).